

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/072,830   | 02/08/2002      | Dong Feng Chen       | ERM-105.01              | 2287             |
| 25181  | 7590 05/19/2003 |                      |                         |                  |
| FOLEY HOAG, LLP PATENT GROUP, WORLD TRADE CENTER WEST 155 SEAPORT BLVD |                 |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
|  |                 |                      | CHERNYSHEV, OLGA N      |                  |
| BOSTON, MA 02110   |                 | •                    | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |                 |                      | 1646                    |                  |
|  |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 05/19/2003 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   | Application No.                | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary   |   | 10/072,830                     | CHEN ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   | Examiner                       | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   | Olga N. Chernyshev             | 1646  |  |  |  |  |
|   | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address   |                                |   |  |  |  |  |
| Period for Reply  |   |                                |   |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                                |   |  |  |  |  |
| Status  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on   |                                |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1)[_]<br>2a)[_  |   | — ·<br>is action is non-final. |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3)  | , <del>_</del>  |                                | tters prosecution as to the merits is                               |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |   |                                |   |  |  |  |  |
|   | on of Claims  |                                |   |  |  |  |  |
| , —   | Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application  |                                |   |  |  |  |  |
|   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav   | vn from consideration.         |   |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |                                |   |  |  |  |  |
| ·   | 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |                                |   |  |  |  |  |
|   | Claim(s) is/are objected to.  | Jaakian manuinanank            |   |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers   |   |                                |   |  |  |  |  |
|   | Γhe specification is objected to by the Examiner  | r.                             |   |  |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |   |                                |   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |                                |   |  |  |  |  |
| 11) 🔲 🗆   | he proposed drawing correction filed on   |                                |   |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |   |                                |   |  |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |                                |   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |   |                                |   |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |   |                                |   |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  |   |                                |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |   |                                |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |   |                                |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   |   |                                |   |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |   |                                |   |  |  |  |  |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.   |   |                                |   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |   |                                |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice   | e of References Cited (PTO-892)<br>e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)<br>nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of                   | Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 1646

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-6, drawn to a composition comprising lithium and an agent, classified in class 530, subclass 399, for example.
  - II. Claims 7-9 and 22-24, drawn to a method for promoting axonal growth, classified in class 435, subclass 375, for example.
  - III. Claims 10-17, drawn to a method for treating injury by administration of lithium, classified in class 424, subclass 600, for example.
  - IV. Claims 18-21, drawn to a method for treating potential axonal growth, classified in class 514, subclass 913, for example.
  - V. Claims 25-26, drawn to a method for treatment by administration of a cell, classified in class 424, subclass 93.1, for example.
  - VI. Claims 27-28, drawn to a method for preventing neural cell degeneration, classified in class undetermined, subclass undetermined, for example.
  - VII. Claim 30, drawn to a method for treating neurodegenerative disorders by administration of an agent, classified in class undetermined, subclass undetermined, for example.
  - VIII. Claims 31-33, drawn to a method for treating sectioning of the spinal cord, classified in class undetermined, subclass undetermined, for example.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Art Unit: 1646

2. Inventions I and (II-V) are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the composition of Group I comprising lithium and an agent could be used in an entirely different manner such as chemical compounds in their own right rather than in the methods of Groups II-V.

Page 3

- 3. Inventions I and (VI-VIII) are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not required one for the other in that the composition of Group I is not required for the methods of Groups VI-VIII.
- 4. Inventions II to VIII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are directed to different methods that recite structurally and functionally distinct elements, are not required one for the other, achieve different goals, and therefore constitute patentably distinct inventions.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, recognized divergent subject matter and non-coextensive literature searches, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

3

Art Unit: 1646

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. In case Group I or Group III is elected, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: different agents specifically listed in claims 2 and 14.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 13 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

Art Unit: 1646

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olga N. Chernyshev whose telephone number is (703) 305-1003. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9 AM to 5 PM ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached on (703) 308-6564. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 782-9306 for regular communications and (703) 782-9307 for After Final communications.

Certain papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 via the PTO Fax center located in Crystal Mall 1 (CM1). The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 C.F.R. § 1.6(d)0. NOTE: If Applicant *does* submit a paper by fax, the original signed copy should be retained by Applicant or Applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers.

Art Unit: 1646

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4556 or (703) 308-4242. If either of these numbers is out of service, please call the Group receptionist for an alternative number. Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (703) 308-0294. Official papers should NOT be faxed to (703) 308-0294.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Olga N. Chernyshev, Ph.D. Clevyshol

May 9, 2003

Page 6